IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

UNITI	ITED STATES OF AMERICA)					
VS.)		CASE NO.:	3:12-CR-377-M (04)		
MARI	RITZA MUNOZ VILLANUEVA, Defendant.)					
	ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT A UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JU						
Magis U.S.C. Magis Court	After reviewing all relevant matters of record, in sent of the defendant, and the Report and Recommissistrate Judge, and no objections thereto having been acceptable. C. § 636(b)(1), the undersigned District Judge is objective Judge concerning the Plea of Guilty is correspondent accepts the plea of guilty, and MARITZA MUNOZ imposed in accordance with the Court's scheduling of	mendation n filed wit of the opin ect, and it in Z VILLAN	Concerning I hin fourteen da nion that the R s hereby accep	Plea of Guilty Lys of service Report and Re Letted by the Co	of the United States in accordance with 28 ecommendation of the burt. Accordingly, the		
	The defendant is ordered to remain in custody.						
⊠	The Court adopts the findings of the United States Magistrate Judge by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is not likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released and should therefore be released under § 3142(b) or (c).						
	Upon motion, this matter shall be set for hearing before the United States Magistrate Judge who set the conditions of release for determination, by clear and convincing evidence, of whether the defendant is likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released under § 3142(b) or (c).						
	The defendant is ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2). The defendant shall self-surrender to the United States Marshal no later than						
	The defendant is not ordered detained pursuant ☐ There is a substantial likelihood that a r ☐ The Government has recommended tha ☐ This matter shall be set for hearing befo of release for determination, by clear ar flee or pose a danger to any other perso	motion for at no senter ore the Uni and convinc	acquittal or ne nce of imprisor ted States Mag sing evidence, of	ew trial will be nment be imposistrate Judge of whether the	e granted, or osed, and who set the conditions e defendant is likely to		
	The defendant is not ordered detained pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a)(2) because the defendant has filed a motion alleging that there are exceptional circumstances under § 3145(c) why he/she should not be detained under § 3143(a)(2). This matter shall be set for hearing before the United States Magistrate Judge who set the conditions of release for determination of whether it has been clearly shown that there are exceptional circumstances under § 3145(c) why the defendant should not be detained under § 3143(a)(2), and whether it has been shown by clear and convincing evidence that the defendant is likely to flee or pose a danger to any other person or the community if released under § 3142(b) or (c).						
	SIGNED this 25 th day of September, 2013.	11 ,	711 81	φ			

BÁRBARA M. G. LYNN UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS